| CHAPTER 6: Past and | | | 1 | | |
|-----------------------------|----------|--------------|--------------|--|---|
| Stakeholder Name John Power | Page/s | Line/s 42 | Table/Figure | Stakeholder Comment Convention on Biodiversity (CBD) etc, rather than that chapter? | Author Response Not changed: Chapter 5 gives detail on the various conventions/agreements that applies. It is not necesarry to mention specifics here. |
| John Power | 2 | 48 | | Also nationally used stuff | Inserted: In this chapter, we assess the various predation management methods used in South Africa and internationally and consider their application in the South African context. |
| John Power | 4 | 81 | | lacking rather than poor? | Not changed: "poor" is more appropriate in this context. |
| John Power John Power | 4 | 98 106 | | either to/ or for mitigating spelling - fluoroacetate? | Changed as requested Changed as requested |
| John Power | 4 | 107 | | 4600 km | Changed as requested |
| John Power | 4 | 112 | | Can remove rabbits I guess, feral dogs rather than wild dogs (sensu Lycaon pictus)? | Not changed as suggested: Rabbits are also controlled by poison. Our understanding is that wild dogs and feral dogs are technically two different things in Australia. Wild dogs refer to dingoes x feral dogs. Also, we refer to Lycaon pictus as African Wild Dogs throughout the chapter. We did change the previous sentence: Control techniques for damage causing animals include extensive state-managed poison baiting (using 1080 or sodium fluoroacetate) programmes and the 4600 km Dingo Barrier Fence (DBF), that aims to exclude dingoes or wild dogs Canis familiaris from the entire south-eastern section of the continent (Yelland 2001). |
| John Power | 5 | 133-136 | | Reference needed - guess a Kenyan situational one | References added: Mburu, J. (2007). Emergence, adoption, and implementation of collaborative wildlife management or wildlife partnerships in Kenya: a look at conditions for success. Society and Natural Resources, 20: 379-395.; Kellert, S.R., Mehta, J.N., Ebbin, S.A. & Lichtenfeld, L.L. (2000). Community natural resource management: promise, rhetoric, and reality. Society and Natural Resources, 13: 705-715. |
| John Power | 5 | 138 | | Can just one of these Ripple references be used = brevity & less references? | Not changed: The one study refer to the status of large carnivores and the other to the status of herbivores. Both are included since we refer to the "decline of mammal populations" in the sentence |
| John Power John Power | 6 7 | 178 210 | | can delete and advances ? Mcmanus reference - not more relevant in next statement, | Not deleted: "and advances" should also be included Reference added to next sentence, but also retained in the |
| John Fower | | 210 | | ie. line 213? | current sentence since McManus <i>et al</i> . 2015 make various references to farmers that only use lethal methods |
| John Power | 8 | 225-226 | | Point 4 may also need at least a reference a with other points | Reference added: Steyaert, S.M.J.G., Kindberg, J., Jerina, K., Krofel, M., Stergar, M., Swenson, J.E. & Zedrosser, A. 2014. Behavioral correlates of supplementary feeding of wildlife: can general conclusions be drawn? Basic Appl. Ecol. 15: 669-676 |
| John Power | 9 | | 1 | Good info in Table! Maybe to make simpler - less by 1-2 columns, and each page being of same generic thing? | Formatting fixed but not split or reduced: by taking out or merge columns some valuable information could get lost |
| John Power | 16 | 25-27 | | Crop raiding by primates can be left out - think rather focus on predators only (Human herders section etc) - guess not serious. | Not deleted: The implication here is that human herders also likely to be successful in stopping predation by primates on livestock. |
| John Power | 17 | 52 | | Human herders etc - may be good as far as job creation, and 'Working4Herders' whatever are ideas that can be mooted, when it comes to national level schemes of job creation - Mindful that gov would rather fiscus go to a person than a fence or bullets etc. :) | Already alluded to in the Table; Inserted: Added advantages is that herders may be in a good position to make field observations on the condition of fences, presence of predators and the condition of the veld which can be of value for any adaptive management used by the farmer (Palmer et al. 2010; Hawkins 2012) and employing herders may provide a good opportunity for job creation through new or existing government supported initiatives (e.g. Jobsfund; Extended Public Works Program). |
| John Power | 17 | 65 | | the much celebrated Anatolian dogs As eg. | Not included: Various breeds are used and there are many personal likes and dislikes with every breed, hence we prefer to discuss LGD's in general rather than to highlight specific breeds |
| John Power | 18 | 92 | | Hansen and Bakken (1999) - not sure conventions? | Not changed: We used the correct convention, but this |
| John Power | 19 | 122 | | US | should be sorted out by the "Assessment editors" Changed as requested |
| John Power | 20 | 156 | | At least two South African NGO's assist farmers in the placement of LDGs. | Not included: not relevant to the discussion in question |
| John Power | 20 | 168 | | FYI - the reverse of this - predators having the collars, and their presence is conveyed to landowners. This is done in North West by the conservation unit, where livestock can be moved in response to presence of a collared leopard, and leopards in no-go areas are also physically chased away by using sound (ie. vehicle hooting, firearm shots), and this is when approaching midday rest spots/ kill sites in no-go zones. Experimentation with car-tracking technology is on the go too (cheaper than satellite tech.), and geofence creation is possible so as to be alerted as to exits of "preferred" ranges of subjects. Optional info FYI. | animal collar which sends a cellular signal to the farmer when abnormal behaviour (e.g. running) is detected within a livestock herd (Lotter 2006; Viljoen 2015; PMF 2016) or when a collared predator cross a predetermined boundary (also see Box 2). |
| John Power | 21 | 200 | | Box 2 - nice information, but boxes should not be overly complicated, and think it can be shortened a great deal, and made relevant to predators in some way, say remove the Figure, and make more summarised, it basically does work, just shortened id say. | Not changed as suggested: the box was included as specific case study/example and it is important to include the details; added a sentence in the last paragraph under "Distructive stimuli "However, an emerging concept which integrate a combination of disruptive stimuli to form a virtual fence against predators could proof more effective in the long term (see Box 2)." |
| John Power | 25 | 235 | | Octagonal or Hexagonal Jackal-proof fence mesh - a picture of one these fence meshs might work? | Picture added as an example |
| John Power John Power | 25 26 | 244 261 | | think - and Perkins (xxxx) not & DBF | Changed as requested Changed as requested |
| John Power | 27 | 309-312 | | Reference | Reference added: GORDON, I. 2017. Reproductive technologies in farm animals. CABI, Boston, US |
| John Power | 28 | 329 | | Flerding ? Wtf? | Not changed: HAHA - the term is used in the scientific literature |
| John Power | General | | | Blaum, N., Tietjen, B. and Rossmanith, E. 2009. Impact of Livestock Husbandry on Small- and Medium-Sized Carnivores in Kalahari Savannah Rangelands. <i>The Journal of Wildlife Management</i> , 73: 60–67. doi: 10.2193/2008-034. This reference might be useful somewhere - links to lethal control of jackals mesopredators, and maybe rangeland management? | Reference included under "Grazing and natural prey management":). It has also been suggested that through proper grazing management, by reducing herd sizes and preventing over-grazing, the habitats where natural prey occur will be less disturbed, resulting in higher prey diversity and numbers (Avenant & Du Plessis, 2008; Blaum, Tietjen & Rossmanith, 2009; PMF, 2016). |
| John Power | 28 | 363-365 | | Following this - concept of protecting buffer species, may have merit, ie. protecting dassies colonies, mountain reedbuck in hills etc - but as alluded to, much research must go into this, but from farmers are easily impressed by this as a holistic management suggestion, and it has positive biodiversity outputs. | Already covered under "6.3.2.7. Grazing and natural prey management". Unfortunately there is a lack of scientific evidence to expand in more detail on this idea |

| John Power | 31 | 446-447 | The concept of jackal restaurants, following vulture | Inserted: Although supplemental feeding has been used |
|--------------------------|----------|------------|--|--|
| | | | restaurants (which is more conventional), is used a lot in North West, and farmers appear to be impressed by it, though goal is minimising predation on game ungulates, guess research on the merits of this method would be well worth doing | successfully in the Cape Peninsula, Western Cape to temporarily distract chacma baboons from raiding urban areas (Kaplan et al. 2011), it has not yet been tested extensively in the livestock predation context. Some game farmers in the North West Province make use of "jackal restaurants" to curb black-backed jackal predation on game species (John Power, North West Provincial Government, Mafikeng, pers. comm.), but the methods effectivity in this context has not yet been scientifically verified. |
| John Power | General | | Van der Merwe, I, Craig J. Tambling, C.J., Thorn, M. & Dawn M. Scott, D.M., Yarnell, R.W & Green, M., Cameron, E.Z & Bateman, P.W. 2009. An assessment of diet overlap of two mesocarnivores in the North West Province, South Africa. <i>Afr. Zool.</i> 44(2): 288–291 Idea that jackals may scavenge more when apex predators provide food for them, similar to humans providing carcasses? Following above stuff EVI | Reference included under "Supplemental feeding": Although supplemental feeding has been used successfully in the Cape Peninsula, Western Cape to temporarily distract chacma baboons from raiding urban areas (Kaplan, O'Riain, Van Eeden & King, 2011), it has not been tested extensively in the livestock predation context (but see Van der Merwe et al., 2009). |
| John Power | 31 | 465 | Following translocation - FYI - North West Province - translocate = excess of diameter leopards known home range, and relocation = < than this diameter, mostly relocation is policy, obv sex, and area dependent. Only works when transloc to unsaturated reserves, and often a short-medium term solution, as after say return time of a year, predation still occurs at origin - but this information is unpublished. Often there is pyschological benefit where there is perception that something is being done from landowners perspective, and they often like to be involved with such drama etc. NW does all the relocs of leopard, brown hyaena, and cheetah, unless a permit can be motivated and of some bona fide reason. | Not changed: there is a large body of evidence to suggest that translocation of predators is very poor management response even though it may have a positive psychological effect on landowners. Altough we agree that the "human-dimension" is a very important aspect of HPC, but it is dealt with in detail in another chapter. |
| John Power | 33 | 519 | efforts - where ZAR 1, 000 offered to farmers for cheetahs, and this became a financial incentive to farmers, in lieu of tolerance. Many ended up in captivity and free-range population was dented by this. Second point - Parks, ie. North West and Sanparks to my knowledge will award compensation for animals that originate from park, ie. lions, wild dogs, cheetah, while they wont for leopard which is res nullius. Proof needs to be availed, but they generally sympathetic to neighbouring communuties. | |
| John Power John Power | 33 34 | 529 568 | Box 3 - not too long this section - seems ok. FYI - NW province devolved some control to landowners, where landowners consent needed to hunt/ control black-backed jackal - not sure if a good thing? Caracal however listed now as protected needing permit to hunt/ control. | Inserted: Predation management is widely guided by various laws and regulations which attempt to control how certain predation management methods are applied, or to force producers to not use certain methods or not to kill certain species (also see Chapter 5). |
| John Power | 35 | 578 | Can mention Sanparks have shot jackals to control ungulates, and there is reference where Rietvlei culled jackals to improve blesbok lambing crop - the latter - think they claimed success? FYI | Not included: already covered |
| John Power John Power | 36 36 | 626 629 | reinforced not developed? Cultural tradition - farmers recreationally enjoy this?? | Inserted: Currently, shooting is the most frequently used predation management method across all types of livestock farms in South Africa (Van Niekerk, 2010; Badenhorst, 2014; Schepers, 2016) which can often be linked to its recreational value. |
| John Power | 37 | 656 | at the predator? | Changed as requested |
| John Power | 38 | 685 | EWT in brackets | Changed as requested |
| John Power John Power | 38 | 686 689 | EWT -following above 6.3.7.4.2 - ? Can this poison stuff be in 3 categories, with | Changed as requested Not changed: altough it is different methods it all relates to |
| | | | this the second one on getters? | the application of poison and thus we kept it under one heading |
| John Power John Power | 39 39 | 716 740 | Following above, 3rd section under Poisons - collars? Cage-trapping as a section, and maybe have subsections - leg holds and snares, as above | Not changed: see previous Not changed: altough it is different methods it all relates to "trapping" and thus we kept it under one heading |
| John Power | 40 | 756 | Leg-hold traps section? | Not changed: see previous |
| John Power John Power | 40 | 762 771 | EWT McKenzie, A.A. 1989. Humane modification of steel foothold traps. <i>S. Afr.J. Wildl. Res</i> . 19(2): 53- 56. Guess this reference suffices here | Changed as requested Reference included: Indeed, McKenzie (1989) and Kamler, Jacobsen & MacDonald (2008) showed that specially modified traps captured fewer non-target species and caused limited injuries to the captured individual. |
| John Power | 40 | 775 | Snares as subsection | Not changed: altough it is different methods it all relates to "snares" and thus we kept it under one heading |
| John Power John Power | 40 41 | 781 787 | Successful in pumas, lions, hyaenas and leopards Neck-placed snares will never be implemented across the board as they are too similar to the cruelly-set wire snares which bush-meat poachers use, so practitioners will have an aversion to their use, as they are abohorrent on all grounds. | Not included Agreed. But covered in the discussion already. |
| John Power | 42 | 826-827 | Reference | Reference added: Box, H.O. & Gibson, K.R. (2009). Mammalian social learning: comparative and ecological perspectives. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. |
| John Power | 42 | 849 | Box 4 - a) adapative management needs rephrasing, b) cant say virtually no research is done, maybe some ?, and c) shortened a bit? | Not changed |
| John Power | 45 | 882 | 7. Although, less popular, not to err away from lethal control, especially when a particular predator is designated as guilty, and non-lethal options may be futile. | Inserted in subsequent paragraph: We advocate the livestock owner utilizing a wide variety of complementary strategies (including lethal methods where necessary) in order to protect his/her animals (see Box 4). |
| John Power | General | | Use of Biofence - Simulating a territory edge/range of a conspecific using its scent/ faeces etc - has been used successfully with Wild Dogs - search C. Jackson, think Peter Apps, McNutt involved too. Of use in very localised circumstances where known groups can traverse areas on boundaries. Further research can be worthwhile if it can deter jackals/ caracals? Surprised not done in USA? Might be worth adding somewhere, or mentioning at least. Have seen that the wild dogs do move away from areas when you cordon an area like a fence of scats from elsewhere. FYI | Included a section on bio-fences |
| | | | | |

| John Dower | Conoral | | | Incontinue financial traphy hunting of problem animals | Included a paragraph under "Financial Incentives" |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------|--------------------------|---|--|
| John Power | General | | | Incentives - financial - trophy hunting of problem animals, ie. leopard has been brought up, which would be preferred to other healthy animals elsewhere?, though can be open to fraudulent claims, but idea worth a discussion. | Included a paragraph under "Financial Incentives" |
| Quinette Kruger | General comment | | Table 1 | I'm sure the authors are aware of it, but the table headings should be adjusted to fit properly and to be visible. Suggestion: break table up into separate tables to correspond with each of the subheadings of Predation management methods. For example: Table1 - Disruptive deterrents, Table 2 - Husbandry practices, etc. | Formatting fixed but not split |
| Quinette Kruger | 7 | | Table 1 | | Changed as requested |
| Quinette Kruger | 11 | | Table 1 | "or" to read "transfer a signal in extensive" Under "Coyote getter/M44 - Effectiveness": change to | Changed as requested |
| Quinette Kruger | 15 | 62 | | "decreases livestock predation" It would probably be best to explain in this paragraph that | Inserted sentence and pictures: The most commonly used, |
| | | | | LGD's include various different breeds of dogs, because the reader may interpret "the livestock guarding dog" as being a specific breed of dog. | and hence most well-studied, guarding animal is the livestock guarding dog (LGD) (Rigg 2001; Gehring et al. 2010; van Bommel & Johnson 2012; Allen et al. 2016). A variety of specifically bred LGD's are available (Rigg 2001), although some local, mixed breeds are also employed in some areas (Figure 1). |
| Quinette Kruger | 19 | 200 | Box 2 | In the second paragraph, give a short description of a bearbanger, because up to this point, it has not been mentioned before, and the description of how it works is only given in paragraph 4. | Inserted: Over the past five years, teams of rangers, using aversive tools like paintball markers and bearbangers (≈ .22-calibre blank powered flare gun that fires cartridges that travel 20 m then explode with a bang), have kept baboons out of the urban areas of Cape Town for over 98.5% of the time (Richardson et al. 2016). |
| Quinette Kruger | 28 | 406 | | black bears should be: black bears' | Changed as requested |
| Quinette Kruger | 30-31 | 499-519 | | an irregular basis and to a limited extent - Distefano (date?). Human-Wildlife Conflict worldwide: collection of case studies, analysis of management strategies and good practices; and b) Lamarque et al. (2009 - Human-wildlife conflict in Africa_causes, consequences and management strategies) state that "The failure of most compensation schemes is attributed to bureaucratic inadequacies, corruption, cheating, fraudulent claims, time and costs involved, moral hazards and the practical barriers that less literate farmers must overcome to submit a compensation claim. They are also difficult to manage, requiring among other things reliable and mobile personnel, able to verify and objectively quantify damage over | Some information already included. Inserted: Although there are examples of compensation schemes that have successfully decreased the retaliatory killing of predators (Bauer et al. 2015), Bulte & Rondeau (2005), Lamaque et al. (2009) and Rajaratnam et al. (2016) highlighted a number of significant shortcomings associated with compensation schemes. When compensation schemes are available, producers may stop putting sufficient effort into protecting their stock. Consequently, livestock losses may actually increase (although it is possible to counter the latter behaviour – see Bauer et al. 2015). It is also often difficult to monitor or verify predation claims or whether producers are complying with any terms associated with a specific compensation programme. Compensation could be paid out irregularly, especially in developing countries, due to budget constraints. It could be difficult for less literate or rural farmers to make a claim. People may be discouraged from claiming compensation because of the time and cost involved in the process (Bulte & Rondeau 2005; Lamaque et al. 2009, Rajaratnam et al. 2016). |
| Quinette Kruger | 35 | | 650-661 | Pringle & Pringle (1979) used this method with success: "most of the lynxes chased by the hounds were killed." Van der Merwe (1953) argued that, provided the dogs found the spoor of the predator, and that the spoor is followed within 8 hours, one can achieve considerable success with this method. He also stated: "It has been suggested that a full-time official be stationed in every town with a pack of trained hounds. Where there are various clubs in one district, this can easily be brought about by co-operation. There are a few progressive farming communities which have adopted this plan and to them the black-backed jackal is no longer a problem." | Some points already covered. Inserted: Dogs have been used extensively in the past to capture predators in South Africa (Hey 1964; Rowe-Rowe 1974; Pringle & Pringle 1979)." |
| Quinette Kruger | 35 | 658-660 | | Gunter (2008) cautioned that drawing conclusions from such historical data is of limited use, owing to the incomplete nature of the data. | Inserted: Further, based on an interpretation of the information obtained from historical hunting records in South Africa, the efficacy of dog hunts is questionable (Gunter 2008). According to Gunter (2008), when hunting clubs used dogs to remove predators, neither predator numbers nor livestock predation decreased considerably. This was attributed to climatic conditions, the fact that hunters sometimes pursued predators long after damage was reported, and the the capability and motivation of hunters. However, Gunter (2008) did caution that drawing conclusions from such historical data may be limited owing to the incomplete nature of the data." |
| Quinette Kruger | | | | 6.3.7.4 - poisons. Hey (1967) believed the coyote getter to be the most effective and most economical method for controlling black-backed jackal, although admitting that a variety of non-target animals are also attracted to the bait, and that some mechanical defects would have to be addressed to improve efficiency. Proved successful where hounds were no longer effective. | Already covered |
| Quinette Kruger | 38 | 756 | | Is "interlocking" the correct description? I believe that for the older gin traps, this was the case. But the modern, more "acceptible" form of the leghold device has offset jaws. | Not changed: see later on in the paragraph |
| Quinette Kruger Quinette Kruger | 40 42 | | Box 4 Box 4, 5th line | walk in traps should be walk-in traps add commas: "the farmer, in consultation with a | Changed as requested Changed as requested |
| | | | | professional, should" | |
| Quinette Kruger Robert Snelling | 37 | 658-661 | Box 4, 10th line | to be by far the most effective tool in the box in our mountainous and bushy terrain. However, the dogs must be well trained and under the contol of a competent handler. Training collars (radio controlled shock collars) | Changed as requested Included at the end of the Section on "Hunting dogs": Currently, hunting dogs may be a good option to track down damage-causing predators in certain circumstances (e.g. in mountainous or bushy terrain) but it is important to ensure that the dogs are then well trained and under the control of a competent handler. It remains, however, important to gather more information on the efficacy of this method. |